



**Central Administrative Tribunal
Madras Bench**

MA/310/00672/2017 (in)(&) OA/310/01370/2017

Dated Monday the 28th day of August Two Thousand Seventeen

P R E S E N T

Hon'ble Mr.K.Elango, Member(J)

&

Hon'ble Mr. R.Ramanujam, Member(A)

D.Azhaganathan,
S/o Danasinghu,
No.7, Gokulam Street,
Kottupalayam,
Puducherry-9.

.. Applicant

By Advocate **Mr.M.Gnanasekar**

Vs.

1. Union of India, rep by the
Chief Secretary to Government,
Government of Puducherry,
Chief Secretariat, Puducherry.
2. The Secretary to Government,
Chief Secretariat (Labour),
Puducherry.
- ✓ 3. The Commissioner of Labour,
Labour Department,
Puducherry.

.. Respondents

By Advocate **Mr.R.Syed Mustafa**



ORAL ORDER

(Pronounced by Hon'ble Mr.R.Ramanujam, Member(A))

MA filed for condonation of delay of 126 days in filing the OA is allowed.

Delay is condoned.

2. The applicant has filed this OA seeking a direction to the respondents to conduct review DPC, consider his name for consideration of promotion to the post of Principal (Group-B-Gazetted) / Training Officer / Assistant Director (Training) / Inspector of Factories against SC quota and pass such further or other orders as this Tribunal may deem fit.

3. Heard. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant belongs to SC Community. He was appointed as Craft Instructor in the year 1987 and subsequently promoted as Group Instructor in 2013 under the SC quota. It is submitted that on verification of the available records and in pursuance of the promotion order, it was found that his name had not been considered for the promotion to the post of Principal/Inspector of Factories/Training Officer/Assistant Director (Training) against the Roster point earmarked for SC quota. As he possessed the requisite educational qualification and fulfilled all the eligibility criteria of Recruitment Rules, he was eligible for promotion to the aforesaid posts both by virtue of seniority and against reservation benefits applicable to SC. Therefore, he made a representation at page 43 dated 06.6.2016 to the respondents seeking to consider his name for promotion to the said posts against roster point



[Handwritten mark]

earmarked to SC quota. The said representation is still pending for consideration. Hence, he has filed this OA seeking the aforesaid relief.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to dispose of his representation dated 06.6.2016 within a time limit to be stipulated by this Tribunal.

5. Mr.R.Syed Mustafa takes notice for the respondents and submits that if time is granted a detailed reply would be filed.

6. Be that as it may, keeping in view the limited relief sought, we deem it appropriate to direct the competent authority to consider the representation of the applicant dated 06.6.2016 as per law and pass a reasoned and speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. The OA is disposed of accordingly at the admission stage. No costs.

"Free Copy U/R 22 of
CAT (Procedure) Rules"



/ TRUE COPY /

S.A. Romano 7.9.17.

DEPUTY REGISTRAR